

- 9 -

Commissioner for Patents**REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 31 remain in the application.

Claims 32 to 51 are withdrawn without prejudice.

The Examiner has requested an election of claims under 35 U.S.C. 121. Applicants argue that Claims 1-11 and Claims 12-31 present a single inventive concept, as will be described hereinafter. However, should the Examiner object to the Applicant's traverse of the restriction requirement for Claims 1-11 and Claims 12-31, the Applicants would elect Claims 1-11 for the prosecution of the present application.


The Applicants do not concur with the restriction requirement of the Examiner. More specifically, the Applicants argue that the combination of limitations found in Claim 12 is the same as for Claim 1. Therefore, Claims 12-31 are limited to being used with the backplane connection of Claims 1-11. Accordingly, the Applicants believe that the election requirement of the Examiner with regard to Claims 1-11 and Claims 12-31 is traversed.

Respectfully submitted,
SYLVAIN MARCOTTE ET AL.

By:

July 28, 2003

(Date)



James ANGLEHART (Reg. No. 38,796)
Agent of Record
OGILVY RENAULT
1981 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1600
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2Y3
Tel.: (514)847-4244